

Australian Government

Civil Aviation SafetyAuthority

## Operational Assessment of Existing or Proposed Structure

(See over for explanatory notes)

| Exist | ing 🔲  | Propos   | sed                |                  |                           |              |                   |  |
|-------|--|--|--------------------|------------------|---------------------------|--------------|-------------------|--|
| 1.    | Type of s  | structure  |                    |                  |                           |              |                   |  |
| 2.    | Location   |  |                    |                  |                           |              |                   |  |
|       | Latitude/Longitude   |  |                    |                  |                           |              |                   |  |
| 3.    | Nearest ac   | erodrome   |                    |                  |                           |              |                   |  |
|       | Note: If remote from any aerodrome, ignore items 4, 7 & 8. |  |                    |                  |                           |              |                   |  |
| 4.    | Either   | Or   |                    |                  |                           |              |                   |  |
|       |  | Or   |                    |                  | •                         |              |                   |  |
|       |  | And  | l offset from rur  | nway centre line | -                         |              |                   |  |
| 5.    | Height of structure  |  |                    |                  |                           |              |                   |  |
| 6.    | Ground le  | Ground level of site m AHD TOTAL m AHD                         |                    |                  |                           |              |                   |  |
| 7.    | Height of OLS (supplied by AD OPR)m AHD                    |  |                    |                  |                           |              |                   |  |
| 8.    | Penetration of   |  |                    |                  |                           |              |                   |  |
| 9.    | The struct   |  |                    | •                |                           |              | ns (see CAR 89Z): |  |
|       |  |  |                    |                  |                           |              |                   |  |
| 10.   | Date of effect for proposed object:                        |  |                    |                  |                           |              |                   |  |
| 11.   | Recommendation to builder/owner:                           |  |                    |                  |                           |              |                   |  |
|       | Marking  | Yes  | No 🔲               |                  | Lighting                  | Yes 🔲        | No 🗖              |  |
|       | Intensity  | High 🗋   |                    | —                |                           |              |                   |  |
|       | . 1  | No requirements (Cross out all non-applicable)<br>Give reasons |                    |                  |                           |              |                   |  |
|       | Give rea   | sons   |                    |                  |                           |              |                   |  |
| 10    | ·····  |  |                    |                  | ······                    |              | 1                 |  |
| 12.   | Advice to  | aerodrome oper   | rator: proposed    | Yes              | ve an operational<br>No □ | If Yes, give |                   |  |
|       |  |  |                    |                  |                           | 11 1 03, giv |                   |  |
|       |  |  |                    |                  |                           |              |                   |  |
| 13.   | Charts/publications to be amended                          |  |                    |                  |                           |              |                   |  |
| 14.   | NOTAM  | required   |                    | Yes 🗆            | No 🗆                      |              |                   |  |
| 15.   | FOI Signa  | ature  |                    | Name             |                           |              | Date              |  |
| 16.   | DFOM Si  | ignature<br>Delegate j   | pursuant to CAR 89 |                  |                           |              | Date              |  |

## **Operational Assessment– Explanatory Notes**

## ltem

| 1.        | Details of the type of structure are to be supplied by the applicant (owner, builder<br>or aerodrome operator), and should include sufficient information to enable the<br>FOI to assess how conspicuous the structure will be.   |
|-----------|---|
| 2.        | Location is to be supplied by the applicant in the form of a map or plan. Co-<br>ordinates of the site may be required.   |
|           | Details of any adjacent structures (proximity, height, marking and lighting) should<br>be supplied by the applicant as their presence could result in less stringent<br>requirements.   |
| 3.        | Nearest aerodrome(s) may be supplied by the applicant. If it is not supplied, the FOI should seek advice from Aerodrome Inspectors.   |
|           | If the structure is beyond the Obstacle Limitation Surfaces (OLS) of any aerodrome, ignore items 4, 7 & 8. The OLS is described in Rules and Practices for Aerodromes (RPA). It may extend up to 15000 metres from the aerodrome.   |
|           | If the structure is or may be within the OLS, refer the application to the aerodrome operator, with a request that the operator supply the information for items 4 to 8.  |
| 4. to 8.  | To be supplied by the aerodrome operator or applicant.  |
|           | Sufficient details are required to determine the location of the structure relative to the aerodrome and should include details of which OLS surfaces are infringed.  |
| 9.        | CAR 89Z(2) defines the circumstances under which a determination may be made that a structure is a hazardous object. This determination is to be made by a DFOM.  |
| 11. & 12. | RMs and DFOMs are delegated under CAR 95 to direct that objects be removed,<br>marked or lit where they penetrate certain parts of the OLS for some international<br>aerodromes. The Civil Aviation (Building Control) Regulations may also be used<br>in certain circumstances at Adelaide, Sydney, Bankstown, Moorabbin, Melbourne<br>and Essendon. |
|           | For the majority of aerodromes, and for objects that are remote from aerodromes,<br>CASA is not empowered to issue such directions. DFOMs may, however, make<br>recommendations or impose restrictions on the use of the aerodrome.   |
|           | Advice should be offered by the FOI to the aerodrome operator to enable him or<br>her to negotiate with the owner of the structure in order to eliminate or reduce any<br>operational restrictions.   |
|           | If necessary, advice should be sought by the FOI from procedure design specialists in relation to approach/departure procedures.  |
| 13. & 14  | It may be necessary to include the structure on relevant charts and publications, detailing its location, height and lighting. The structure may also affect lowest safe altitude and approach/departure procedures, even when the OLS is not infringed.  |
| 15.       | The assessment must be signed by the FOI.   |
| 16.       | The assessment must be signed by DFOM who is a delegate under CAR 892 and attached to the application or notification.  |